

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,243	10/01/2003	Vineet Kalucha	112097.132US1	3496
24395 WILMER CUT	7590 04/10/2007 FLER PICKERING HALE	EXAMINER		
1875 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., NW			AHN, SANGWOO	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/10/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com tina.dougal@wilmerhale.com michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/675,243	KALUCHA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sangwoo Ahn	2166	

Before the timing of an Appear Biller	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sangwoo Ahn	2166					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ttension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
	alliaman with 27 OFD 44 27 mount be	Eland mishin tone manual	a af tha data of				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	,				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:		•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	· · · · · · · · · · ·	MARK	mel				
MOHAMMAD ALI							
•	•	PRIMARY E	XAMINER				
		1					

Continuation of 13. Other: The new issues are "the items comprising the electronic information being associatively filed within one or more projects," "the project referencing a plurality of items comprising the electronic information, wherein the items are associated with at least one class of a plurality of classes, wherein the classes are selected from activities, people, places, objects, and time," and "each of the project types being associated with at least one of a plurality of business rules."